

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,112	08/02/2005	Takanori Miyoshi	Q88453	9429
23373 7590 06/18/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CHRISS, JENNIFER A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/544,112 MIYOSHI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JENNIFER A. CHRISS 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	or Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issued of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by the company of the provision of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by the company of the provision of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by the company of the provision of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed to the company of the provision of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed to the company of the provision of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed to 17 CFR 1.73(b). In the provision of 17 CFR 1				
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 March 2009</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4,6-8 and 10-13 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b □ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)				
_					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5). Notice of Informal Pater LApplication.	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/02/2009.	6) Other:	
21 L 12 L 2 L 2 L 2 L 2 L 2 L 2 L 2 L 2	6) Culei	

Application/Control Number: 10/544,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The Applicant's Amendments and Accompanying Remarks, filed March 2, 2009, have been entered and have been carefully considered. Claims 1 and 6 are amended, claims 5 and 9 are cancelled, claims 11 13 are withdrawn and claims 1 4, 6 8 and 10 13 are pending. In view of Applicant's amendment to claims 1 and 6, the Examiner withdraws the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections of the claims as detailed in paragraphs 5 6 of the Office Action dated September 30, 2008. In view of Applicant's argument that one of ordinary skill in the art would have not been motivated to modify the fiber of Yamamoto to have a smaller diameter of less than 20 microns because it would destroy the functionality of the use of the fiber as a kidney membrane as discussed in Yamamoto, the Examiner has withdrawn the rejection as detailed in paragraphs 7 8 of the Office Action dated September 30, 2009. The invention as currently claimed is not found to be patentable for reasons herein below.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the Specification does not have proper antecedent basis for "g/mol". Application/Control Number: 10/544,112 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

set to the the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1 – 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

6. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended to indicate that the number average molecular weight of the organic compound is not less than 62 "g/mol" nor more than 300 "g/mol". The Specification does not provide direct or implicit support for this new limitation.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed March 2, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that the amendment to claims 1 and 6 to indicate that the "number average molecular weight" has units of "g/mol" is proper because one skilled in the art would not only recognize the existence of error in the specification, but also the appropriate correction. Applicant provides supporting documentation for this assertion

Art Unit: 1794

that it is an obvious omission and would necessarily be "g/mol". However, according to Polymer Blends Handbook, available PEG polymers include one with a high molecular weight of 400 kg/mol or even lower molecular weights of 2 and 10 kg/mol (see page 219, Section 3.3.3.5). Therefore, kg/mol is shown to be a common unit for molecular weight and Applicant's Specification and/or Remarks fail to provide evidence that the molecular weight unit for the polymer in Applicant's claims must be "'g/mol".

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. The paper entitled "Technique Paper for Wet-Spinning Poly(L-lactic acid) and Poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolide) Monofilament Fibers" discusses wet-spinning fibers made of polylactic acid, PEG 200 and using a chloroform solvent (Materials and Methods). The fiber diameters of 30 microns or less was easy to achieve according to the paper by choosing the appropriate spinning conditions, solvents, polymer concentrations and draw ratios (Discussion).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/544,112

Art Unit: 1794

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER A. CHRISS whose telephone number is (571)272-7783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 6 p.m., first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,112 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jennifer A Chriss/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/J. A. C./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794